

LINCOLN AIRPORT



F.A.R. Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study

Noise Compatibility Program

LINCOLN AIRPORT

F.A.R. Part 150

Noise Compatibility Study

NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM

Prepared For
The Lincoln Airport Authority

By
Coffman Associates, Inc.

September 2003

The preparation of this document was financed in part through a planning grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) as approved under the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended. The contents of this report do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the FAA. Acceptance of this report by the FAA does not in any way constitute a commitment on the part of the United States to participate in any development depicted therein, nor does it indicate that the proposed development is environmentally acceptable in accordance with applicable public laws.

CONTENTS

LINCOLN AIRPORT Lincoln, Nebraska

F.A.R. Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program

NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM

Sponsor's Certification	x
-------------------------------	---

Chapter Four NOISE ABATEMENT ALTERNATIVES

POTENTIAL NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES	4-3
Runway Use And Flight Routes	4-3
Airport Facilities Development	4-6
Aircraft Operational Procedures	4-10
Airport Regulations	4-15
SELECTION OF MEASURES FOR DETAILED EVALUATION	4-27
Evaluation Criteria	4-27
Alternative 1 - Test The Effectiveness Of Utilizing Runway 17R-35L For Nighttime Operations	4-28
Alternative 2 - Engine Run-Up Location Noise Assessment	4-31
Alternative 3 - Engine Run-Up Enclosure	4-33
SUMMARY	4-34

Chapter Five

LAND USE ALTERNATIVES

LAND USE ISSUES	5-1
LAND USE COMPATIBILITY BOUNDARY	
ESTABLISHMENT	5-2
Boundaries Established Utilizing Aircraft Operations	5-2
Boundaries Established By Noise Exposure Contours	5-3
Existing Land Use Planning Boundaries Within	
Lincoln Airport Environs	5-5
LAND USE MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES	5-7
Policy Techniques	5-8
Regulatory Techniques	5-9
Expenditure Techniques	5-21
PRELIMINARY LAND USE ALTERNATIVES	5-28

Chapter Six

NOISE COMPATIBILITY PLAN

NOISE ABATEMENT AND LAND USE MEASURES	
ELIMINATED FROM CONSIDERATION	6-2
NOISE ABATEMENT ELEMENT	6-2
LAND USE MANAGEMENT ELEMENT	6-6
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT ELEMENT	6-14
RESIDUAL NOISE IMPACTS	6-18
SUMMARY	6-18

EXHIBITS

4A	POSSIBLE NOISE ABATEMENT TECHNIQUES	after page 4-4
4B	ALTERNATIVE RUN-UP LOCATIONS	after page 4-10
4C	TYPICAL AIRLINE DISTANCE NOISE	
	ABATEMENT DEPARTURE PROCEDURE	after page 4-12
4D	NBAA NOISE ABATEMENT DEPARTURE	
	PROCEDURES	after page 4-12
4E	ALTERNATIVE 1 - TEST THE EFFECTIVENESS	
	OF UTILIZING RUNWAY 17R-35L FOR	
	NIGHTTIME OPERATIONS	after page 4-28
4F	ALTERNATIVE 2 - POTENTIAL RUN-UP	
	ENCLOSURE SITES EXISTING AND A	after page 4-32

EXHIBITS (Continued)

4G	ALTERNATIVE 3 - POTENTIAL RUN-UP ENCLOSURE SITES B, C, AND D	after page 4-32
4H	ALTERNATIVE RUN-UP RAMP LAYOUT	after page 4-32
4J	POTENTIAL RUN-UP ENCLOSURE DESIGN	after page 4-34
5A	LAND USE PLANNING ISSUES	after page 5-2
5B	ALTERNATIVE ENVIRONS NOISE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES	after page 5-6
5C	LAND USE TECHNIQUES TO PROMOTE NOISE COMPATIBILITY	after page 5-8
5D	COMPATIBLE LAND USE AND COMPATIBLE ZONING	after page 5-10
5E	POTENTIAL REVISIONS TO AIRPORT ENVIRONS NOISE DISTRICT	after page 5-16
5F	ALTERNATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL ZONING	after page 5-20
5G	NOISE-SENSITIVE LAND USE WITHIN THE 65 DNL NOISE CONTOUR	after page 5-24
6A	RUN-UP LOCATIONS	after page 6-4
6B	SUGGESTED RUN-UP RAMP LAYOUT	after page 6-4
6C	RECOMMENDED AIRPORT ENVIRONS NOISE DISTRICT BOUNDARY	after page 6-8
6D	RECOMMENDED REVISED AIRPORT ENVIRONS NOISE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES	after page 6-12
6E	2002 NOISE EXPOSURE CONTOUR WITH LAND USE	after page 6-18
6F	2007 NOISE EXPOSURE CONTOUR WITH LAND USE	after page 6-18
6G	2022 NOISE EXPOSURE CONTOUR WITH LAND USE	after page 6-18

Appendix A

WELCOME TO THE PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**Appendix B
COORDINATION, CONSULTATION,
AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT**

**Appendix D
IMPLEMENTATION MATERIALS**

**Appendix E
SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION FOR LAND USE
REGULATIONS WITHIN AND BELOW 65 DNL**

TECHNICAL INFORMATION PAPERS

GLOSSARY OF NOISE COMPATIBILITY TERMS
NOISE AND LAND USE COMPATIBILITY GUIDELINES



NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM

F.A.R. Part 150

NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM

Noise Compatibility Study Update

Lincoln Airport

This is the Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) document prepared for Lincoln Airport. The NCP is the second of two parts required in a Federal Aviation Regulation (F.A.R.) Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study. It includes Chapters Four, Five, and Six in addition to four appendices. The first volume, the Noise Exposure Maps, which includes the first Three chapters of the study, was submitted to the FAA in February 2003.

Chapter Four of the NCP, Noise Abatement Alternatives, discusses and analyzes potential methods of reducing or shifting aircraft noise away from noise sensitive areas.

Chapter Five, Land Use Alternatives, analyzes potential land use planning and zoning techniques to prevent the

development of new noise-sensitive land uses in areas exposed to aircraft noise.

Chapter Six presents the Noise Compatibility Program. The program is organized into three elements: noise abatement, land use management, and program management. The first two elements are based on the findings of Chapters Four and Five. The program management element includes measures to administer, refine, and update the overall program as needed in the future.

Supplemental information is provided in the appendices and Technical Information Papers. Appendix A lists the members of the Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) who were consulted throughout the planning process. It also includes an explanation of the role of the PAC in the Part 150 update

process. Appendix B, Coordination, Consultation, and Public Involvement, summarizes the planning process, local coordination, and the public involvement process.

Appendix C contains a verbatim copy of the Integrated Noise Model output report. This appendix is contained within the Noise Exposure Maps (NEM) document.

Appendix D contains sample implementation materials for the various recommendations contained within the NCP.

Appendix E contains supporting information for land use regulations below the 65 DNL contour.

For the convenience of FAA reviewers, the FAA's official Noise Compatibility Checklist is presented on pages iii through viii.

F.A.R. PART 150
NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST

AIRPORT NAME: *Lincoln Airport*
Lincoln, Nebraska

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
I. IDENTIFICATION AND SUBMISSION OF PROGRAM:		
A. Submittal is properly identified:		
1. F.A.R. 150 NCP?	Yes	Title Page; p. i
2. NEM and NCP together?	No	
3. Program revision?	No	
B. Airport and Airport Operator's name identified?	Yes	Title Page; p. i
C. NCP transmitted by airport operator cover letter?	Yes	
II. CONSULTATION: [150.23]		
A. Documentation includes narrative of public participation and consultation process?	Yes	Appendix B; supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
B. Identification of consulted parties:		
1. all parties in 150.23(c) consulted?	Yes	Appendix A; Appendix B; and supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
2. public and planning agencies identified?	Yes	Appendix A; Appendix B; and supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
3. agencies in 2, above, correspond to those indicated on the NEM?	Yes	Appendix A; Appendix B; and supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
C. Satisfies 150.23(d) requirements?		
1. documentation shows active and direct participation of parties in B, above?	Yes	Appendix B; supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
2. active and direct participation of general public?	Yes	Appendix A; Appendix B; supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"

F.A.R. PART 150
NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST

AIRPORT NAME: *Lincoln Airport*
Lincoln, Nebraska

REVIEWER: _____

Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
Yes	Appendix B; supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
Yes	Appendix B; supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
Yes	Appendix B; supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
Yes	Appendix B; supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
Yes	Supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
Yes	Supplemental volume titled "Supporting Information on Project Coordination and Local Consultation"
Yes	The local tower manager was involved in the Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) meetings and indicated agreement with flight procedures. FAA representative from Airports Division of the Central Region also attended PAC meetings.

F.A.R. PART 150
NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST

AIRPORT NAME: *Lincoln Airport*
Lincoln, Nebraska

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
III. NOISE EXPOSURE MAPS: [150.23, B150.3, 150.35(f)] (This section of the checklist is not a substitute for the Noise Exposure Map Checklist. It deals with maps in the context of the Noise Compatibility Program submission.)		Noise Exposure Maps submitted in February 2003.
A. Inclusion of NEMs and supporting documentation:		
1. Map documentation either included or incorporated by reference?	Yes	
2. Maps previously found in compliance by FAA?	Yes	
3. Compliance determination still valid?	Yes	
4. Does 180-day period have to wait for map compliance finding?	Yes	
B. Revised NEMs submitted with program: (Review using NEM checklist if map revisions included in NCP submittal?)		
1. Revised NEMs included with program?	No	
2. Has airport operator requested FAA to make a determination on the NEM(s) when NCP approval is made?	No	
C. If program analysis uses noise modeling:		
1. INM, HNM, or FAA-approved equivalent?	Yes	
2. Monitoring in accordance with A150.5?	No	
D. Existing condition and 5-year maps clearly identified as the official NEMs?	Yes	
IV. CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES: [B150.7, 150.23(e)]		
A. At a minimum, are the alternatives below considered?		
1. land acquisition and interests therein, including air rights, easements, and development rights?	Yes	Chapter 5, pp. 5-21 - 5-23, 5-25 - 5-28
2. barriers, acoustical shielding, public building soundproofing?	Yes	Chapter 4, pp. 4-8 - 4-10, pp. 4-31 - 4-34, Table 4D, p. 4- 35 Chapter 5, pp. 5-23 - 5-24
3. preferential runway system?	Yes	Chapter 4, p. 4-3 - 4-4

F.A.R. PART 150
NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST

AIRPORT NAME: *Lincoln Airport*
Lincoln, Nebraska

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
4. flight procedures?	Yes	Chapter 4, pp. 4-4 - 4-5, p. 4-6, pp. 4-28 - 4-31 Table 4D, pp. 4-35
5. restriction on type/class of aircraft (at least one restriction below must be checked)		
a. deny use based on Federal standards?	Yes	Chapter 4, pp. 4-15 - 4-19
b. capacity limits based on noisiness?	Yes	Chapter 4, p. 4-20
c. noise abatement takeoff/approach procedures?	Yes	Chapter 4, pp. 4-11 - 4-15
d. landing fees based on noise or time of day?	Yes	Chapter 4, pp. 4-20 - 4-22
e. nighttime restrictions?	Yes	Chapter 4, pp. 4-24 - 4-26
6. other actions with beneficial impact?	Yes	Chapter 4, pp. 4-20 - 4-26
7. other FAA recommendations?	N/A	
B. Responsible implementing authority identified for each considered alternative?	Yes	Chapter 5, Table 5B, p.5-29
C. Analysis of alternative measures:		
1. measures clearly described?	Yes	Chapter 4, pp. 4-3 - 4-35 Chapter 5, pp. 5-7 - 5-29
2. measures adequately analyzed?	Yes	Chapter 4, pp. 4-3 - 4-35 Chapter 5, pp. 5-7 - 5-29

F.A.R. PART 150
NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST

AIRPORT NAME: *Lincoln Airport*
Lincoln, Nebraska

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
<p>3. adequate reasoning for rejecting alternatives?</p> <p>D. Other actions recommended by the FAA: Should other actions be added? (list separately or on back of this form actions and discussions with airport operator to have them included prior to the start of the 180-day cycle)</p>	Yes N/A	Chapter 4, pp. 4-3 - 4-35 Chapter 5, pp. 5-7 - 5-29
<p>V. ALTERNATIVES RECOMMENDED FOR IMPLEMENTATION: [150.23(e), B150.7(c); 150.35(b), B150.5]</p> <p>A. Document clearly indicates:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. alternatives recommended for implementation? 2. final recommendations are airport operators, not those of consultant or third party? <p>B. Do all program recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. relate directly or indirectly to reduction of noise and noncompatible land uses? 2. contain description of contribution to overall effectiveness of program? 3. noise/land use benefits quantified to extent possible? 4. include actual/anticipated effect on reducing noise exposure within noncompatible area shown on NEM? 5. effects based on relevant and reasonable expressed assumptions? 6. have adequate supporting data to support its contribution to noise/land use compatibility? <p>C. Analysis appears to support program standards set forth in 150.35 (b) and B150.5?</p>	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Chapter 6, pp. 6-2 - 6-19, Table 6C, pp. 6-20 - 6-22 Sponsor's Certification, p. x Chapter 6, pp. 6-2 - 6-19 Chapter 4, Chapters 5 & 6 Chapter 4, Chapters 5 & 6 Chapter 4, Chapters 5 & 6

F.A.R. PART 150
NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST

AIRPORT NAME: *Lincoln Airport*
Lincoln, Nebraska

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
D. When use restrictions are recommended:		
1. are alternatives with potentially significant noise/compatible land use benefits thoroughly analyzed so that appropriate comparisons and conclusions can be made?	N/A	No use restrictions recommended.
2. use restrictions coordinated with APP-600 prior to making determination on start of 180-days?	N/A	
E. Do the following also meet Part 150 analytical standards:		
1. formal recommendations which continue existing practices?	N/A	
2. new recommendations or changes proposed at end of Part 150 process?	N/A	
F. Documentation indicates how recommendations may change previously adopted plans?	N/A	
G. Documentation also:		
1. identifies agencies which are responsible for implementing each recommendation?	Yes	Chapter 6, pp. 6-2 - 6-19, Table 6C, pp.6-20 - 6-22
2. indicates whether those agencies have agreed to implement?	Yes	Sponsor's Certification on p. x. By approving NCP, Airport Authority has agreed to seriously consider implementation of the measures for which it has sole responsibility, provided that funding is available. It has also agreed to encourage other organizations and agencies to take any recommended actions per NCP.
3. indicates essential government actions necessary to implement recommendations?	Yes	Chapter 6, pp. 6-2 - 6-19, Table 6C, pp.6-20 - 6-22
H. Timeframe:		
1. includes agreed-upon schedule to implement alternatives?	Yes	Chapter 6, pp. 6-2 - 6-19, Table 6C, pp.6-20 - 6-22

F.A.R. PART 150
NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST

AIRPORT NAME: *Lincoln Airport*
Lincoln, Nebraska

REVIEWER: _____

		Yes/No/NA	Page No./ Other Reference
2.	indicates period covered by the program?	Yes	Chapter 6, pp. 6-2 - 6-19, Table 6C, pp.6-20 - 6-22
I.	Funding/Costs: 1. includes costs to implement alternatives?	Yes	Chapter 6, pp. 6-2 - 6-19, Table 6C, pp.6-20 - 6-22
	2. includes anticipated funding sources?	Yes	Chapter 6, pp. 6-2 - 6-19, Table 6C, pp.6-20 - 6-22
VI.	PROGRAM REVISION [150.23(e)(9)] Supporting documentation includes provision for revision?	Yes	Chapter 6, pp. 6-16 -6-18

SPONSOR'S CERTIFICATION

The Noise Compatibility Program and accompanying documentation for Lincoln Airport, including the description of consultation and opportunity for public involvement, submitted in accordance with F.A.R. Part 150, and hereby certified as true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. It is hereby certified that adequate opportunity has been afforded interested persons to submit views, data, and comments on the Noise Compatibility Program.

Date of Signature

John Wood, Executive Director
Lincoln Airport Authority